Public Decision Making for Transport Projects

The Case of the Caltrain Downtown San Francisco Extension Project

Andrew Nash, P.E.
Research Assistant
nashtimm@freesurf.ch



Presentation Outline

- I. Importance of Public Involvement
- II. Caltrain Downtown Extension Case Study
- III. Public Involvement Lessons
- IV. Case Study Applicability to ALP-Net
- V. Questions & Discussion



I. Why is public involvement important?

- Public can stop projects.
 - Legal & Administrative Means
 - Project Finance
- Public can improve projects.
 - Creative Thinking ... More Comprehensive
 - Identify & Reduce Impacts



II. Caltrain DTX Case Study

Description of Caltrain

- Commuter Rail System connecting San Francisco Peninsula/Silicon Valley with city of San Francisco.
- Heavy two-way passenger demand.
- Approximately 80 trains per day.







Downtown Extension Project - DTX

- Caltrain SF Terminal currently 1.25 miles from Downtown CBD - Requires transfers/walking.
- Idea of Downtown Terminal studied many times from early 1900s till now.
- Case study describes preparation of Draft Environmental Impact Study 1995 - 1997.



Environmental Impact Statement - EIS

- Document required for federal funding.
- Late in planning process.
- EIS Process:
 - Public Hearing Project Initiation
 - Technical Studies
 - Draft EIS Preparation/Hearing
 - Final EIS Preparation/Hearing
 - Approval of Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA)



Study Initiation

- Significant Public Information Effort
- Stakeholder Interviews
- Public Hearings
- Governing Board Decisions > Public Input
 - Eliminate Alternatives
 - Add Alternatives
 - Increase Coordination with Transbay Project



Refining Alternatives

- Recognition that too many alternatives for effective analysis and public understanding.
- Need to reduce the number of alternatives.
- Design Options Screening (DOS) Process
 - Break alternatives into pieces.



Design Options Screening Process

- Break alternatives into 8 pieces (decisions).
- Provide public with technical information about each decision.
 - Summary: Newsletter
 - More Detailed: Design Options Screening Report
 - Most Detailed: Individual Technical Studies
- Use Question Structure for Public Input.



DOS Process Results

- Effective Public Involvement:
 - Good input from public (clear, calm)
 - Agency able to make decisions
- Changes to Project Scope
 - Eliminated alternatives
 - Increased Coordination with Transbay Study
 - Increased Analysis of Geotechnical Impacts



DEIS Publication & LPA Selection

- Based on Success of DOS Process, Caltrain used similar process to select LPA.
- Five decisions to identify LPA.
 - Used DOS public information and decision structure.
 - Newsletter 6: Web References:

www.transitinfo.org/caltrain/annc/otrt-3-97

www.transitinfo.org/caltrain/annc/otrt-3-

97/poster.html



Caltrain DTX Conclusion

- Great Operation, but Patient Died.
 - New SF Mayor decided to oppose project.
 - Insufficient political support to continue June 1997.
- Change of Heart.
 - November 1999 Initiative: Build Extension.
 - DEIS Study Process restarted.
 - Addressed two issues from first study: Transbay
 Terminal & Tunneling in Neighborhood.



1. Commit to a strong public involvement process.

- Use public involvement to guide study.
- Provide sufficient time and funding for a strong public involvement program.
- Keep the public interested in the study.



2. Structure the study to encourage public involvement.

- Think creatively about how to structure study tasks to use public input.
- Recognize that changes will take place as the study continues ... be flexible.



3. Use Advisory Groups to guide study.

- Good audiences for draft reports, brainstorming and project discussions.
- Citizens, Technical Staff members, Stakeholders.
- Will be more involved than general public.



4. Develop a relationship with key stakeholders.

- One-on-one meetings helped provide information in non-threatening environment and personal relationship that is important to study success.
- Stakeholders are people (or group representatives)
 who can make a project happen or prevent it from
 happening.



5. Hold relevant & interesting public meetings.

- Well-organized, interesting and provocative.
- A good public meeting is hard to organize and manage - Use professionals!
- Many types of public meeting use the most appropriate type for your need.



- 6. Break down complicated issues into smaller ones.
 - Example: Caltrain DOS Process.
 - Can provide **STRUCTURE** to study that is very helpful to reaching conclusions.
 - Requires careful presentation of information.



7. Prepare logical & clear study information.

- Strong communications is critical for good public involvement.
- Work with professionals they can help you!
- Editing, Graphics, Page Layout, Focus on Important Information, Many Levels of Information.
- Communications program should be consistent with rest of study.



IV. Applicability to ALP-Net

Major Study Differences:

- Study Scope Stage in Planning Process
- Study Complexity
- Geographic Area



<u> Differences - 1</u>

Study Scope - Planning Stage

Caltrain: <u>Late</u> ALP-Net: <u>Conceptual</u>

- ALP-Net needs greater public involvement since it needs to develop a good Problem Definition
- Public can be very helpful in Conceptual Stage:
 - New ideas
 - Early ID of non-starters



Differences - 2

Study Complexity

Caltrain: Complex ALP-Net: More Complex

- More complexity means wider variety of solutions:
 Improvement Projects to Regulations/Laws.
 - Need efficient decision-making structure (DOS).
- More complexity means more Stakeholders.
 - Need efficient ways to use stakeholders.
 - Requires excellent communications (Languages!)



Differences - 3

Geographic Area

Caltrain: About 1 sq.mi.

ALP-Net: ???

- Larger area = More & Better Communications.
 - Bigger scope, language/tradition differences.
- Larger area increases need for efficient involvement and input techniques.
 - Internet Public Involvement?
 - Requires excellent communications (Languages!)
 - Structured Processes (DOS)



V. Questions & Discussion

